

GENERATORS OF RELATIONS FOR ANNIHILATING FIELDS

MIRKO PRIMC

ABSTRACT. For an untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, and a given positive integer level k , vertex operators $x(z) = \sum x(n)z^{-n-1}$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, generate a vertex operator algebra V . For the maximal root θ and a root vector x_θ of the corresponding finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$, the field $x_\theta(z)^{k+1}$ generates all annihilating fields of level k standard $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules. In this paper we study the kernel of the normal order product map $r(z) \otimes Y(v, z) \mapsto r(z)Y(v, z)$: for $v \in V$ and $r(z)$ in the space of annihilating fields generated by the action of $\frac{d}{dz}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ on $x_\theta(z)^{k+1}$. We call the elements of this kernel the relations for annihilating fields, and the main result is that this kernel is generated, in certain sense, by the relation $x_\theta(z)\frac{d}{dz}(x_\theta(z)^{k+1}) = (k+1)x_\theta(z)^{k+1}\frac{d}{dz}x_\theta(z)$. This study is motivated by Lepowsky-Wilson's approach to combinatorial Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, and many ideas used here stem from a joint work with Arne Meurman.

1. INTRODUCTION

J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson (cf. [LW]) initiated the approach to combinatorial Rogers-Ramanujan type identities via the vertex operator constructions of representations of affine Lie algebras. By using Lepowsky-Wilson's approach, in [MP1] and [FKLMM] new series of combinatorial Rogers-Ramanujan type identities are obtained by constructing certain combinatorial bases of standard $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -modules. In both papers the starting point is a PBW spanning set of a standard module $L(\Lambda)$ of level k , which is then reduced to a basis by using the relation

$$(1.1) \quad x_\theta(z)^{k+1} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad L(\Lambda).$$

The relation (1.1) holds in general for any level k standard module of any untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Here θ is the maximal root of the corresponding finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, x_θ is a corresponding root vector and $x_\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_\theta(n)z^{-n-1}$ is a formal Laurent series with coefficients $x_\theta(n)$ in the affine Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (see Section 2 for notation). The relation (1.1) was studied before in [LP], but it is best understood if $x_\theta(z)^{k+1}$ is viewed as the vertex operator $Y(x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}, z)$ associated to a singular vector $x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}$ in a vertex operator algebra $V = N(k\Lambda_0)$, i.e., as an annihilating field of standard modules of level k (cf. [FLM], [DL], [Li1], [MP1]).

After a PBW spanning set is reduced to a basis, it remains to prove its linear independence. In a joint work with Arne Meurman [MP1] a sort of Gröbner base theory is used for proving linear independence. The main ingredient in the proof is

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B67; Secondary 17B69, 05A19.

Partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia, grant 037002.

the use of relations among annihilating fields of level k standard modules

$$Y(r, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r_n z^{-n-1}, \quad r \in \bar{R}\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{C}[L_{-1}]U(\mathfrak{g})x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1},$$

“generated” by the obvious relation

$$(1.2) \quad x_\theta(z) \frac{d}{dz} (x_\theta(z)^{k+1}) = (k+1)x_\theta(z)^{k+1} \frac{d}{dz} x_\theta(z).$$

The relation (1.2) arises by writing the vector $x_\theta(-2)x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}$ in two different ways, thus obtaining two different expressions for the same field, and the representation theory is used to generate “enough” of other relations for annihilating fields $Y(r, z)$, $r \in \bar{R}\mathbf{1}$. In [MP2] a similar technique is used to construct a combinatorial basis of the basic $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ -module.

By following ideas developed in [MP1] and [MP2], in [P2] a general construction of such relations for annihilating fields is given by using vertex operators $Y(q, z)$ associated with elements q in the kernel of the map

$$\Phi: \bar{R}\mathbf{1} \otimes N(k\Lambda_0) \rightarrow N(k\Lambda_0), \quad \Phi(u \otimes v) = u_{-1}v.$$

Due to the state-field correspondence, we shall call the elements in $\ker \Phi$ *relations for annihilating fields*. By using these relations the problem of constructing combinatorial bases of standard modules is split into a “combinatorial part of the problem” (consisting of counting numbers $N(n)$ of certain colored partitions of n) and a “representation theory part of the problem” (consisting of constructing certain subspaces Q in $\ker \Phi$ such that $\dim Q(n) = N(n)$, where $Q(n)$ is a space of coefficients of vertex operators $Y(q, z) = \sum q(n)z^{-n-\text{wt } q}$ associated with vectors in Q). In this paper only a part of the “representation theory part of the problem” is studied, the main objective being to understand the structure of $\ker \Phi$ for general untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The starting point in this paper are Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, showing that $\ker \Phi$ has certain $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -module structure and that

$$(1.3) \quad \ker \Phi \cong \ker \Psi$$

as $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -modules, where

$$\Psi: U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} \bar{R}\mathbf{1} \rightarrow N(k\Lambda_0), \quad u \otimes w \mapsto uw.$$

This makes it possible to use the theory of induced representations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, in particular Garland-Lepowsky’s resolution of a standard module in terms of generalized Verma modules. The main results are Theorem 5.4, saying that the $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -module $\ker \Phi$ is generated by certain (singular) vectors, and for combinatorial arguments more convenient Theorem 6.2, saying that the $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $\ker \Psi$ is generated by the vector

$$x_\theta(-2) \otimes x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} - x_\theta(-1) \otimes x_\theta(-2)x_\theta(-1)^k\mathbf{1}.$$

Via (1.3) this vector can be seen in the vertex operator algebra $N(k\Lambda_0) \otimes N(k\Lambda_0)$, and the vertex operator associated to this vector is

$$(1.4) \quad x_\theta(z)^{k+1} \otimes \frac{d}{dz} x_\theta(z) - \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{d}{dz} (x_\theta(z)^{k+1}) \otimes x_\theta(z).$$

The obvious relation (1.2) arises by taking from (1.4) normal order products. So, in a sense, the relation (1.2) generates all relations for annihilating fields of standard $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level k .

Some ideas worked out in this paper come from collaboration with Arne Meurman for many years, and I thank Arne Meurman for his implicit contribution to

this work. I also thank Jim Lepowsky and Ivica Siladić for numerous stimulating discussions.

2. VERTEX ALGEBRAS FOR AFFINE LIE ALGEBRAS

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Via this form we identify $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and we assume that $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$ for the maximal root θ (with respect to some fixed basis of the root system). Set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^j + \mathbb{C}c, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathbb{C}d.$$

Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the associated untwisted affine Lie algebra (cf. [K]) with the commutator

$$[x(i), y(j)] = [x, y](i+j) + i\delta_{i+j,0}\langle x, y \rangle c.$$

Here, as usual, $x(i) = x \otimes t^i$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, c is the canonical central element, and $[d, x(i)] = ix(i)$. Sometimes we shall denote $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^j$ by $\mathfrak{g}(j)$. We identify $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(0)$. Set

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} = \coprod_{j < 0} \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^j, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0} = \coprod_{j \leq 0} \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^j + \mathbb{C}d, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} = \coprod_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^j + \mathbb{C}d.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ denote by $\mathbb{C}v_k$ the one-dimensional $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)$ -module on which $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$ acts trivially and c as the multiplication by k . The untwisted affine Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ gives rise to the vertex operator algebra (see [FZ] and [Li1], we use the notation from [MP1])

$$N(k\Lambda_0) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} \mathbb{C}v_k$$

for level $k \neq -g^\vee$, where $g^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$; it is generated by the fields

$$x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n z^{-n-1}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where we set $x_n = x(n)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. As usual, we shall write $Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}$ for the vertex operator (field) associated with a vector $v \in N(k\Lambda_0)$. From now on we shall fix the level $k \neq -g^\vee$, and we shall sometimes denote by V the vertex operator algebra $N(k\Lambda_0)$.

Recall that $N(k\Lambda_0) \otimes N(k\Lambda_0)$ is a vertex operator algebra; fields are defined by $Y(a \otimes b, z) = Y(a, z) \otimes Y(b, z)$, the conformal vector is $\omega \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \omega$ (cf. [FHL]). In particular, the derivation $D = L_{-1}$ is given by $D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D$, the degree operator $-d = L_0$ is given by $L_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_0$, and we have the action of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(0)$ given by $x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$. Set

$$\Phi: N(k\Lambda_0) \otimes N(k\Lambda_0) \rightarrow N(k\Lambda_0), \quad \Phi(a \otimes b) = a_{-1}b.$$

It is easy to see that Φ intertwines the actions of L_{-1} , L_0 and $\mathfrak{g}(0)$, so that $\ker \Phi$ is also invariant for the actions of these operators. If σ is an automorphism of V , then clearly Φ intertwines the actions of σ on $V \otimes V$ and V .

3. TENSOR PRODUCTS AND INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

The vertex operator algebra $V = N(k\Lambda_0)$ is an induced $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, so by restriction it is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ -module, where $x_j = x(j)$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $j < 0$, acts on

$$(3.1) \quad V = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} \mathbb{C}v_k \cong U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$$

by the left multiplication with x_j . Since in general

$$(3.2) \quad (Du)_n = -nu_{n-1}$$

for $u \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad x_{-1-j} = (D^{(j)}x(-1)\mathbf{1})_{-1} = (x_{-1-j}\mathbf{1})_{-1}$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, with notation $D^{(j)} = D^j/j!$.

The vertex operator algebra V has a Lie algebra structure with the commutator

$$(3.4) \quad [u, v] = u_{-1}v - v_{-1}u = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n D^{(n+1)}(u_nv).$$

This Lie algebra structure is transported from the Lie algebra structure on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_-(V)$ associated with the vertex Lie algebra V (cf. [FF], [Li2]), via the vector space isomorphism

$$(3.5) \quad V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_-(V), \quad u \mapsto u_{-1}$$

(cf. [DLM], or (4.14) in [P1] with $U = V$). By (3.3) the restriction of (3.5) gives a vector space isomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}, \quad u \mapsto u_{-1},$$

and the adjoint action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\mathbf{1}$ on V with the commutator (3.4) is transported from the adjoint action of the subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_-(V)$. So we have the “adjoint” action $u_{-1}: v \mapsto [u, v]$ of the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ on the vertex operator algebra V , we shall denote it by V_{ad} .

Since L_{-1} , L_0 and y_0 , $y \in \mathfrak{g}$, are derivations of the product $u_{-1}v$ in V , they are also derivations of the bracket $[u, v]$, and we can extend the adjoint action of the Lie algebra V to the action of the Lie algebra $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} + \mathbf{C}L_0 + \mathfrak{g}(0)) \ltimes V$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\mathbf{1}$ is invariant for L_{-1} , L_0 and y_0 , $y \in \mathfrak{g}$, and

$$(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} + \mathbf{C}L_0 + \mathfrak{g}(0)) \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0},$$

we can extend the “adjoint” action of the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ on the vertex operator algebra V to the “adjoint” action of the Lie algebra $\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}$ on V , we shall also denote it by V_{ad} .

Let $W \subset V$ be a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$ -submodule invariant for the action of $D = L_{-1}$. Then the right hand side of (3.4), and (3.2), imply that W is invariant for the “adjoint” action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$. By assumption W is invariant for L_{-1} , L_0 and $\mathfrak{g}(0)$, so on W we have the “adjoint” action of Lie algebra $\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}$, we shall denote it by W_{ad} .

Theorem 3.1. *There is a unique isomorphism of $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -modules*

$$\Xi_W: W_{\text{ad}} \otimes V \rightarrow U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} W$$

such that $\Xi_W(w \otimes \mathbf{1}) = 1 \otimes w$ for all $w \in W$.

Proof. We shall use the identification (3.1). By definition, a linear map

$$\Xi: W_{\text{ad}} \otimes U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \rightarrow U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes W$$

is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ -module homomorphism if

$$(3.7) \quad \Xi([x, w] \otimes u) + \Xi(w \otimes x_{-1}u) = x_{-1}\Xi(w \otimes u)$$

for all $w \in W$, $u \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$ and $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\mathbf{1} \subset V$. Since the universal enveloping algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$ is a quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$, we first define recursively a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi: W \otimes T(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) &\rightarrow U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes W, \\ \Xi^s: W \otimes T^s(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) &\rightarrow U_s(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes W, \end{aligned}$$

by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi^0(w \otimes \mathbf{1}) &= 1 \otimes w \quad \text{for all } w \in W, \\ \Xi^{s+1}(w \otimes x_{-1} \otimes u) &= x_{-1}\Xi^s(w \otimes u) - \Xi^s([x, w] \otimes u) \end{aligned}$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then we see that $\ker \Xi \supset W \otimes J$ for the ideal $J \subset T(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$ generated by elements $x_{-1} \otimes y_{-1} - y_{-1} \otimes x_{-1} - [x_{-1}, y_{-1}]$ for $x, y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\mathbf{1} \subset V$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Xi^{s+1}(w \otimes (x_{-1} \otimes y_{-1} \otimes u - y_{-1} \otimes x_{-1} \otimes u)) \\ &\quad - \Xi^s(w \otimes [x_{-1}, y_{-1}] \otimes u) \\ &= (x_{-1}y_{-1} - y_{-1}x_{-1} - [x_{-1}, y_{-1}]) \Xi^{s-1}(w \otimes u) \\ &\quad + \Xi^{s-1}([y, [x, w]] - [x, [y, w]] + [[x, y], w] \otimes u) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the relation $[x_{-1}, y_{-1}]\mathbf{1} = [x, y]$ for the inverse of the map (3.6). By passing to the quotient we obtain a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ -module homomorphism

$$(3.8) \quad \Xi_W: W_{\text{ad}} \otimes U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \rightarrow U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes W,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \Xi_W^s: W_{\text{ad}} \otimes U_s(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \rightarrow U_s(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes W.$$

From recursive relations

$$(3.10) \quad \Xi_W^{s+1}(w \otimes x_{-1}u) = x_{-1}\Xi_W^s(w \otimes u) - \Xi_W^s([x, w] \otimes u)$$

we see by induction that Ξ_W is an isomorphism, uniquely determined by the requirement $\Xi_W^0(w \otimes \mathbf{1}) = 1 \otimes w$. By induction (3.10) implies that the map Ξ_W intertwines the actions of L_{-1} , L_0 and $\mathfrak{g}(0)$. $\square$

Remarks. (i) Note that, with the identifications made in (3.8), by (3.9) the map Ξ_W preserves the filtration inherited from the filtration $U_s(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$.

(ii) Let σ be an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. We extend σ to an automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\sigma(x(n)) = (\sigma x)(n)$, $\sigma c = c$, $\sigma d = d$, and, as well, to $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and V . If $W \subset V$ is invariant for the action of σ , then σ acts on $W \otimes V$ and $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} W$ in a natural way. Note that Ξ_W intertwines the action of σ , as easily seen from (3.10).

Since $W \subset V$, we have maps

$$\Psi_W: U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} W \rightarrow V, \quad u \otimes w \mapsto uw,$$

$$\Phi_W: W \otimes V \rightarrow V, \quad u \otimes w \mapsto u_{-1}w.$$

Note that the map Ψ_W is a homomorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules, and that Ψ_W intertwines the actions of L_{-1} , L_0 and σ (if W is invariant for σ). Hence $\ker \Psi_W$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module,

invariant for L_{-1} , L_0 and σ . The following theorem relates $\ker \Phi_W$ with induced representations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$:

Theorem 3.2. *The map Φ_W is a homomorphism of $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -modules and $\Phi_W = \Psi_W \circ \Xi_W$. In particular, $\ker \Phi_W \subset W_{ad} \otimes V$ is a $(\mathbf{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -module and*

$$\Xi_W(\ker \Phi_W) = \ker \Psi_W.$$

Remark. Note that $\Phi_W = \Phi|(W \otimes V)$, and likewise Ξ_W and Ψ_W are restrictions of Ξ_V and Ψ_V respectively. In what follows we shall sometimes omit the subscript W , and write only Φ , Ξ and Ψ , whenever is clear from a context which $W \subset V$ is fixed.

Proof. First note that for $w \in W$, $u \in V \cong U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$ and $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\mathbf{1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(w \otimes x_{-1}u) &= w_{-1}x_{-1}u = x_{-1}w_{-1}u - [x_{-1}, w_{-1}]u \\ &= x_{-1}w_{-1}u - \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{-1}{n} (x_n w)_{-2-n} u \\ &= x_{-1}w_{-1}u - \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (D^{(n+1)}(x_n w))_{-1} u \\ &= x_{-1}w_{-1}u - ([x, w])_{-1} u = x_{-1}\Phi(w \otimes u) - \Phi([x, w] \otimes u). \end{aligned}$$

Also note that $\Phi(w \otimes \mathbf{1}) = w = \Psi(\Xi(w \otimes \mathbf{1}))$. By using the filtration $U_s(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we see by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\Xi(w \otimes x_{-1}u)) &= x_{-1}\Psi(\Xi(w \otimes u)) - \Psi(\Xi([x, w] \otimes u)) \\ &= x_{-1}\Phi(w \otimes u) - \Phi([x, w] \otimes u) \\ &= \Phi(w \otimes x_{-1}u), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., we see that $\Phi = \Psi \circ \Xi$. □

4. SUGAWARA'S RELATIONS FOR ANNIHILATING FIELDS

From now on we fix $k \neq -g^\vee$ such that $N(k\Lambda_0)$ is a reducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, and we denote by $N^1(k\Lambda_0)$ its maximal $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -submodule. Let $R \subset N(k\Lambda_0)$ be a nonzero subspace such that:

- R is finite-dimensional,
- R is invariant for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}R = 0$,
- $R \subset N^1(k\Lambda_0)$.

The main example we have in mind is for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $R = U(\mathfrak{g})x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}$. Set

$$\bar{R} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{r_n \mid r \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where r_n denotes a coefficient in the vertex operator $Y(r, z)$. Then $\bar{R}$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module for the adjoint action given by the commutator formula

$$[x_m, r_n] = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (x_i r)_{m+n-i}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, r \in R.$$

From now on we take

$$W = \bar{R}\mathbf{1} \subset V$$

and we call elements in $\ker \Phi_W$ the relations for annihilating fields (cf. [P2]). By Theorem 3.2 we may identify the relations for annihilating fields with elements of $\ker \Psi_W$, which is easier to study by using the representation theory of affine Lie algebras. The first step is to introduce Sugawara's relations for annihilating fields as elements in

$$N = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} W \cong U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{< 0}) \otimes W.$$

Let $\{x^i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{y^i\}_{i \in I}$ be dual bases in $\mathfrak{g}$. For $r \in R$ we define Sugawara's relation

$$(4.1) \quad q_r = \frac{1}{k + g^\vee} \sum_{i \in I} x^i(-1) \otimes y^i(0)r - 1 \otimes Dr$$

as an element of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} W$. As in the case of Casimir operator, Sugawara's relation q_r does not depend on a choice of dual bases $\{x^i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{y^i\}_{i \in I}$. Since on V we have $D = L_{-1}$, Sugawara's construction gives (cf. [MP1], Chapter 3)

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1}r &= \frac{1}{2(k + g^\vee)} \sum_{i \in I} (x^i(-1)y^i(0) + y^i(-1)x^i(0))r \\ &= \frac{1}{k + g^\vee} \sum_{i \in I} x^i(-1)y^i(0)r, \end{aligned}$$

and we have the following proposition (cf. [MP1], Chapter 8):

Proposition 4.1. (i) q_r is an element of $\ker \Psi_W$.

(ii) $r \mapsto q_r$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module homomorphism from R into $\ker \Psi_W$.

(iii) $x(i)q_r = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $i > 0$.

Let us denote the set of all Sugawara's relations (4.1) by

$$Q_{\text{Sugawara}} = \{q_r \mid r \in R\} \subset \ker \Psi_W.$$

Our assumptions imply that $L_1R = 0$, $L_0R \subset R$ and $R \cap DR = 0$. So $\mathfrak{g}$ -module Q_{Sugawara} is isomorphic to R , and by Proposition 4.1(iii) for each maximal vector r in R the corresponding q_r is a singular vector in the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $\ker \Psi_W$.

Our assumptions imply that $\bar{R}\mathbf{1} = \coprod_{i \geq 0} D^i R$ and we set

$$W_n = \coprod_{i=0}^n D^i R, \quad N_n = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} W_n.$$

So we have a filtration of N by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules N_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and N_0 is a (sum of) generalized Verma module(s). We denote the restrictions $\Psi_W|_{N_n}$ simply by Ψ_n . In particular, we have the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module homomorphism

$$(4.2) \quad \Psi_0: U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} R \rightarrow N^1(k\Lambda_0), \quad u \otimes w \mapsto uw.$$

Proposition 4.2. As a $(\mathbb{C}L_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -module $\ker \Psi_W$ is generated by

$$\ker \Psi_0 + Q_{\text{Sugawara}}.$$

Proof. If $v \in N_0 \cap \ker \Psi_W$, then $v \in \ker \Psi_0$. Now assume that $v \in N_n \cap \ker \Psi_W$ for $n > 0$. Then v is a sum of elements of the form

$$v = \sum_{u, r} u \otimes D^n r + w, \quad u \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{< 0}), \quad r \in R, \quad w \in N_{n-1},$$

and to each summand $u \otimes D^n r$ add

$$uD^{n-1}q_r = uD^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{k+g^\vee} \sum_{i \in I} x^i(-1) \otimes y^i(0)r \right) - u \otimes D^n r \in \ker \Psi_W.$$

Then $v + \sum uD^{n-1}q_r \in N_{n-1} \cap \ker \Psi_W$, and in a finite number of steps we get

$$v \in \ker \Psi_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) D^i Q_{\text{Sugawara}}.$$

□

Remark. By using (3.4) and (3.7) it is easy to see that for $r \in R$ the corresponding Sugawara's relation $\Xi^{-1}(q_r)$ in $\ker \Phi_W \subset W \otimes V$ is

$$(4.3) \quad \Xi^{-1}(q_r) = \frac{1}{k+g^\vee} \sum_{i \in I} y^i(0)r \otimes x^i(-1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{k+g^\vee} D\Omega r \otimes \mathbf{1} - Dr \otimes \mathbf{1},$$

where $\Omega = \sum_{i \in I} x^i(0)y^i(0)$ is the Casimir operator for $\mathfrak{g}(0)$.

5. GENERATORS OF $\ker \Psi_0$ IN THE CASE OF STANDARD MODULES

From now on we consider the case of standard $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules $L(k\Lambda_0)$: we take $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $R = U(\mathfrak{g})x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}$, where $x_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a root vector for the maximal root θ . In this case the maximal $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -submodule $N^1(k\Lambda_0)$ of $N(k\Lambda_0)$ is generated by the singular vector $x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}$, so that N_0 is a generalized Verma module and the map Ψ_0 defined by (4.2) is surjective (cf. [MP1]). Hence we have the exact sequence of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules

$$N_0 \xrightarrow{\Psi_0} N(k\Lambda_0) \rightarrow L(k\Lambda_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

We shall use Garland-Lepowsky's resolution [GL] of a standard module, in terms of generalized Verma modules, to determine generators of $\ker \Psi_0$.

Denote by $W(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ the Weyl group generated by simple reflections $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_\ell$. Note that for $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$

$$r_0 r_i \neq r_i r_0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \neq 0,$$

and that for $A_\ell^{(1)}$, $\ell \geq 2$, there are exactly two such i , for all the other untwisted affine Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ there is exactly one such i , corresponding to α_i connected with α_0 in a Dynkin diagram. With the usual notation, for $w \in W(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and a weight λ write

$$w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho.$$

Lemma 5.1. *For $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the type $A_\ell^{(1)}$, $\ell \geq 2$, the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $\ker \Psi_0$ is generated by exactly two singular vectors of weights $r_0 r_i \cdot k\Lambda_0$, $\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \neq 0$. For all the other untwisted affine Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $\ker \Psi_0$ is generated by exactly one singular vector of weight $r_0 r_i \cdot k\Lambda_0$, $\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \neq 0$.*

Proof. A generalized Verma $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $N(\Lambda)$, with $\Lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $\Lambda(c) = k$, may be defined (cf. [Le]) as an induced $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}c)} L$, where $L = L(\Lambda|\mathfrak{h})$ is the irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with the highest

weight $\Lambda|\mathfrak{h}$, on which $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$ acts trivially and c as the multiplication by k . In terms of Verma modules the generalized Verma module $N(\Lambda)$ may be written as a quotient

$$(5.1) \quad N(\Lambda) = M(\Lambda) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} M(r_i \cdot \Lambda) \right).$$

By Theorem 8.7 in [GL] (cf. Theorem 5.1 in [Le]) we have Garland-Lepowsky's resolution of $L(k\Lambda_0)$ in terms of generalized Verma modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow L(k\Lambda_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

In our setting one gets $E_0 = N(k\Lambda_0)$ and $E_1 = N(r_0 \cdot k\Lambda_0) = N_0$. In the case when $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is of the type $A_{\ell}^{(1)}$, $\ell \geq 2$, the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module E_2 has a filtration $0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 = E_2$ such that V_1/V_0 and V_2/V_1 are exactly two generalized Verma modules $N(r_0 r_i \cdot k\Lambda_0)$, $\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle \neq 0$. Hence $\ker \Psi_0$ is generated by one singular vector and one subsingular vector, their two weights being $r_0 r_i \cdot k\Lambda_0$, $\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle \neq 0$. Since R and $\ker \Psi_0$ are invariant for the action of the Dynkin diagram automorphism σ , which interchanges these two weights, both generating vectors must be singular vectors. For all the other untwisted affine Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ one gets that $E_2 = N(r_0 r_i \cdot k\Lambda_0)$, and hence the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $\ker \Psi_0$ is generated by exactly one singular vector of weight $r_0 r_i \cdot k\Lambda_0$, $\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle \neq 0$. $\square$

Remark. One can also prove Lemma 5.1 by using the BGG type resolution of a standard module in terms of Verma modules, due to A. Rocha-Caridi and N. R. Wallach [RW].

Remark. Singular vectors in Verma module $M(r_0 \cdot k\Lambda_0)$ can be computed by the Malikov-Feigin-Fuchs formula [MFF], and by passing to the quotient (5.1) we may find singular vectors in $N_0 = N(r_0 \cdot k\Lambda_0)$. In the case $A_1^{(1)}$ level $k = 1$ the singular vector of weight $\lambda = r_0 r_1 \cdot \Lambda_0$ in $M(r_0 \cdot \Lambda_0)$ is given by the formula

$$f_0^4 f_1^1 f_0^{-2} v_{r_0 \cdot \Lambda_0},$$

with the usual notation for generators of Kac-Moody Lie algebras. This formula gives the singular vector

$$(x_{\theta}(-1)^2 x_{-\theta}(0) + 2x_{\theta}(-1)\theta^{\vee}(-1) - 6x_{\theta}(-2))v_{r_0 \cdot \Lambda_0}$$

in $M(r_0 \cdot \Lambda_0)$. So we have a generator of $\ker \Psi_0 \subset N_0 = N(r_0 \cdot \Lambda_0)$

$$(5.2) \quad (2x_{\theta}(-1)\theta^{\vee}(-1) - 6x_{\theta}(-2)) \otimes x_{\theta}(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} + x_{\theta}(-1)^2 \otimes x_{-\theta}(0)x_{\theta}(-1)^2 \mathbf{1}.$$

We shall determine singular vectors in $\ker \Psi_0$ in another way.

Let us denote by α_* and r_* the elements α_i and r_i for which $\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle \neq 0$. Then

$$(5.3) \quad r_0 r_* \cdot k\Lambda_0 = k\Lambda_0 - \alpha_* - (k + 1 - \langle \alpha_*, \alpha_0^{\vee} \rangle) \alpha_0.$$

Note that $\langle \alpha_*, \alpha_0^{\vee} \rangle = -2$ for $A_1^{(1)}$, and $\langle \alpha_*, \alpha_0^{\vee} \rangle = -1$ for all the other untwisted affine Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. For this reason the case $A_1^{(1)}$ is somewhat different, so for now assume that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \not\cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then

Lemma 5.2. $\theta - \alpha_*$ is a root of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $2\theta - \alpha_*$ is not a root of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. For the type C_{ℓ} , with the usual notation, $\theta = 2\varepsilon_1$ and $\alpha_* = \alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$, and the statement is clear. For all the other types $\langle \theta, \alpha_*^{\vee} \rangle = 1$, so $r_* \theta = \theta - \alpha_*$ is a positive root of $\mathfrak{g}$, and the statement is clear. $\square$

Then $x_{\theta-\alpha_*} = [x_{-\alpha_*}, x_\theta]$ is a root vector in $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta-\alpha_*}$, and again by Lemma 5.2,

$$[x_{\theta-\alpha_*}, x_\theta] = 0.$$

Since

$$x_{-\alpha_*}(0)x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} = (k+1)x_{\theta-\alpha_*}(-1)x_\theta(-1)^k\mathbf{1} \in R,$$

we obviously have

$$x_{\theta-\alpha_*}(-1) \otimes x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} - x_\theta(-1) \otimes x_{\theta-\alpha_*}(-1)x_\theta(-1)^k\mathbf{1} \in \ker \Psi_0.$$

By (5.3) this vector is of weight $r_0 r_* \cdot k\Lambda_0$, and hence by Lemma 5.1 it must be a singular vector. So we have proved

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \not\cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ be an untwisted affine Lie algebra. Then $\ker \Psi_0$ is generated by the singular vector(s)*

$$x_{\theta-\alpha_*}(-1) \otimes x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} - x_\theta(-1) \otimes x_{\theta-\alpha_*}(-1)x_\theta(-1)^k\mathbf{1}, \quad \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_*^\vee \rangle \neq 0.$$

Now let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$. We have the Sugawara singular vector

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(k+1)\theta} = & \frac{1}{k+2}(x_\theta(-1) \otimes x_{-\theta}(0)x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^\vee(-1) \otimes \theta^\vee(0)x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}) \\ & - 1 \otimes Dx_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

and the obvious relation

$$q_{(k+2)\theta} = x_\theta(-2) \otimes x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{k+1}x_\theta(-1) \otimes Dx_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1}$$

(cf. [MP1]). Then obviously

$$(k+1)q_{(k+2)\theta} - x_\theta(-1)q_{(k+1)\theta} \in \ker \Psi_0$$

is a nonzero vector of weight $r_0 r_1 \cdot k\Lambda_0 = k\Lambda_0 - \alpha_1 - (k+3)\alpha_0$, and hence by Lemma 5.1 it must be a singular vector, proportional to (5.2) in the case $k=1$.

By combining Theorem 3.2, Propositions 4.2, 5.3, and (4.3), we get:

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \not\cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ be an untwisted affine Lie algebra. Then the $(\mathbf{CL}_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ -module $\ker \Phi_W$ is generated by vectors*

$$\begin{aligned} & x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} \otimes x_{\theta-\alpha_*}(-1)\mathbf{1} - x_{\theta-\alpha_*}(-1)x_\theta(-1)^k\mathbf{1} \otimes x_\theta(-1)\mathbf{1}, \quad \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_*^\vee \rangle \neq 0, \\ & \frac{1}{k+g^\vee} \sum_{i \in I} y^i(0)x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} \otimes x^i(-1)\mathbf{1} + L_{-1}\left(\frac{1}{k+g^\vee}\Omega - 1\right)x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$6. \text{ RELATION } x_\theta(z) \frac{d}{dz}(x_\theta(z)^{k+1}) = (k+1)x_\theta(z)^{k+1} \frac{d}{dz}x_\theta(z)$$

We have the obvious relation in $\ker \Psi_W$

$$(6.1) \quad q_{(k+2)\theta} = x_\theta(-2) \otimes x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} - x_\theta(-1) \otimes x_{\theta}(-2)x_\theta(-1)^k\mathbf{1},$$

and the corresponding relation in $\ker \Phi_W$ is

$$\Xi^{-1}(q_{(k+2)\theta}) = x_\theta(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{1} \otimes x_\theta(-2)\mathbf{1} - x_\theta(-2)x_\theta(-1)^k\mathbf{1} \otimes x_\theta(-1)\mathbf{1}.$$

The vertex operator associated to the vector $\Xi^{-1}(q_{(k+2)\theta})$ in the vertex operator algebra $V \otimes V$ is

$$(6.2) \quad x_\theta(z)^{k+1} \otimes \frac{d}{dz}x_\theta(z) - \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{d}{dz}(x_\theta(z)^{k+1}) \otimes x_\theta(z),$$

and by taking normal order products we get for the annihilating field $x_\theta(z)^{k+1}$ the obvious relation

$$(6.3) \quad x_\theta(z)^{k+1} \frac{d}{dz} x_\theta(z) - \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{d}{dz} (x_\theta(z)^{k+1}) x_\theta(z) = 0.$$

The relation (6.3) plays a key role in constructions [MP1], [MP2], [S] of combinatorial bases of standard $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -modules, and these examples showed that all necessary relations for annihilating fields of standard $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -modules can be derived from (6.3), or (6.2), to be a bit more precise. In this section we show that for a general untwisted affine Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \not\cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ we can obtain from (6.1), by using the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$ on $\ker \Psi_W$, both the singular vector(s)

$$q_{(k+2)\theta - \alpha_*} = x_{-\alpha_*}(-1) \otimes x_\theta(-1)^{k+1} \mathbf{1} - x_\theta(-1) \otimes x_{-\alpha_*}(-1) x_\theta(-1)^k \mathbf{1}$$

in $\ker \Psi_0$ and the Sugawara singular vector

$$q_{(k+1)\theta} = \frac{1}{k+g^\vee} \sum_{i \in I} x^i(-1) \otimes y^i(0) x_\theta(-1)^{k+1} \mathbf{1} - 1 \otimes D x_\theta(-1)^{k+1} \mathbf{1}.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let Ω be the Casimir operator for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \not\cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda = (k+2)\theta - \alpha_*$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(k+2)\theta - \alpha_*} &= x_{-\alpha_*}(1) q_{(k+2)\theta}, \\ q_{(k+1)\theta} &= \frac{k+1}{2(k+2)(k+g^\vee)} (\Omega - (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda)) x_{-\theta}(1) q_{(k+2)\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $x_\theta(-1)^{k+1} \mathbf{1}$ is a singular vector, and $[x_{-\alpha_*}, x_\theta] = 0$, the first equality is obvious. To prove the second equality, first note that

$$\begin{aligned} (k+1) x_{-\theta}(1) q_{(k+2)\theta} &= (k+2) \otimes D x_\theta(-1)^{k+1} \mathbf{1} \\ &\quad - x_\theta(-1) \otimes x_{-\theta} x_\theta(-1)^{k+1} \mathbf{1} \\ &\quad - (k+1) \theta^\vee(-1) \otimes x_\theta(-1)^{k+1} \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$q = (k+1) x_{-\theta}(1) q_{(k+2)\theta} + (k+2) q_{(k+1)\theta}$$

is in $\ker \Psi_0$. Since q is of degree $-k-2$, the same as the degree of singular vector(s) in $\ker \Psi_0$, q must be an element of a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module generated by vector(s) $q_{(k+2)\theta - \alpha_*}$. Hence $\Omega q = (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) q$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(k+1) (\Omega - (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda)) x_{-\theta}(1) q_{(k+2)\theta} \\ &= (k+2) ((\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) - \Omega) q_{(k+1)\theta} \\ &= (k+2) \cdot ((\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) - ((k+1)\theta + 2\rho, (k+1)\theta)) \cdot q_{(k+1)\theta} \\ &= (k+2) \cdot 2(k+g^\vee) \cdot q_{(k+1)\theta} \end{aligned}$$

gives the second formula. $\square$

It is easy to see that for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ we have

$$(k+1) x_{-\theta}(1) q_{(k+2)\theta} + (k+2) q_{(k+1)\theta} = 0.$$

Hence, by combining Proposition 4.2, Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 6.1 we get:

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an untwisted affine Lie algebra. Then the $(\mathbf{CL}_{-1} \ltimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $\ker \Psi_W$ is generated by the vector $q_{(k+2)\theta}$.*

Remarks. (i) Although Theorem 5.4 describes generators of relations for annihilating fields, for combinatorial applications (cf. [P2], Theorem 2.12) Theorem 6.2, in conjunction with Theorem 3.2, seems to be better suited. Namely, what one needs is a description of

$$(\ker \Phi_W)_s = (V \otimes V)_s \cap \ker \Phi_W,$$

where $(V \otimes V)_s$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, is the natural filtration of $V \otimes V$ inherited from the filtration $U_s(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For the corresponding filtration $(\ker \Psi_W)_s$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, of $\ker \Psi_W$ the subspace $(\ker \Psi_W)_s$ is not preserved by the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$, but it is preserved by the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$. Theorem 6.2 makes one think that $D^n q_{(k+2)\theta}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, might be generators of $(\ker \Psi_W)_{k+2}$ for the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$?

(ii) In the case of level $k = 1$ standard $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ -modules one needs only the relations $q_{3\theta}$, $q_{3\theta-\alpha_1}$, $q_{3\theta-\alpha_2}$, $q_{2\theta} \in (\ker \Psi_W)_3$ for a construction of combinatorial bases [MP2], [S].

REFERENCES

- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators*, Progress in Mathematics **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DLM] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason *Vertex Lie algebras, vertex Poisson algebras and vertex algebras*, preprint, math.QA/0102127.
- [FF] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikii algebras*, Intern. Jour. of Modern Physics **A7**, Suppl. 1A (1992), 197–215.
- [FKLMM] B. Feigin, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Combinatorics of the $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ spaces of coinvariants*, Transformation Groups **6** (2001), 25–52.
- [FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, *On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, No. 494 (1993).
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., Academic Press, San Diego, 1988.
- [FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, *Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras*, Duke Math. J. **66** (1992), 123–168.
- [GL] H. Garland and J. Lepowsky, *Lie algebra homology and the Macdonald-Kac formulas*, Invent. Math. **34** (1976), 37–76.
- [K] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras* 3rd ed, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Le] J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Verma modules, loop space cohomology and Macdonald type identities*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. 12, 1979, 169–234.
- [LP] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, *Structure of the standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Contemporary Math. **46** (1985).
- [LW] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, *The structure of standard modules, I: Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 199–290; *II: The case $A_1^{(1)}$, principal gradation*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 417–442.
- [Li1] H.-S. Li, *Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules*, J. of Pure and Appl. Alg. **109** (1996), 143–195.
- [Li2] H.-S. Li, *Representation theory and tensor product theory of vertex operator algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1994.
- [MFF] F. G. Malikov, B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuchs, *Singular vectors in Verma modules over Kac-Moody algebras*, Funkc. Anal. i ego Pril. **20** (1986) 2, 25–37.
- [MP1] A. Meurman and M. Primc, *Annihilating fields of standard modules of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and combinatorial identities*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **137**, No. 652 (1999).
- [MP2] A. Meurman and M. Primc, *A basis of the basic $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ -module*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **3** (2001), 593–614.
- [P1] M. Primc, *Vertex algebras generated by Lie algebras*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **135** (1999), 253–293.
- [P2] M. Primc, *Relations for annihilating fields of standard modules for affine Lie algebras*, preprint.

- [RW] A. Rocha-Caridi and N. R. Wallach, *Highest weight modules over graded Lie algebras: resolutions, filtrations and character formulas*, Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 133–162.
- [S] I. Siladić, *Twisted $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ -modules and combinatorial identities*, preprint, math.QA/0204042.

DEPT. OF MATH., UNIV. OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA
E-mail address: `primc@math.hr`